



AF/2632
#13
H. H. H.
10-28-02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS

In re Patent Application of:
FLICK

Serial No. 09/650,425

Filing Date: AUGUST 29, 2000

For: VEHICLE SECURITY SYSTEM SHOCK
SENSING SIREN AND ASSOCIATED
METHODS

Examiner: B. SWARTHOUT

Art Unit: 2632

Attorney Docket No. 58072

RECEIVED

OCT 23 2002

APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF

Technology Center 2600

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Herewith is Appellant's Reply Brief that is submitted in reply to the Examiner's Answer to Appellant's Appeal Brief. If any additional extensions and/or fees are required, authorization is given to charge Deposit Account No. 01-0484.

Applicant submits that the Examiner's Answer to Appellant's Appeal Brief contains a typographical error. More specifically, in the "response to arguments" section, the Examiner notes that "[o]n page 6 of the appeal brief, appellant argues that it would have been obvious to combine the separate housings of the shock sensor and siren of the Mueller into a common housing."

Appellant submits that this is a typographical error and that this sentence should read as follows: "On page 6 of the appeal brief, appellant argues that it would not have been obvious."

In re Patent Application of:

FLICK


Serial No. 09/650,425

Filed: August 29, 2000

. ." Appellant again submits that the Mueller et al. patent actually teaches away from the claimed invention in that it discloses a shock sensor and siren device being discrete and widely spaced apart in the vehicle. Further, separate respective housings are provided for the siren and shock sensor. There is simply no fair teaching or suggestion in the Mueller et al. patent, or any other prior art reference, to disregard the clear teachings of the Mueller et al. patent in an attempt to produce the claimed invention.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims are patentable over the prior art. Appellant, therefore, respectfully requests that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reverse the earlier unfavorable decision of the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark R. Malek

Reg. No. 46,894


Allen, Dyer, Doppelt, Milbrath
& Gilchrist, P.A.

255 S. Orange Avenue, Suite 1401

Post Office Box 3791

Orlando, Florida 32802

407-841-2330



In re Patent Application of:

FLICK

Serial No. 09/650,425

Filed: August 29, 2000

RECEIVED

OCT 23 2002

Technology Center 2600

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231, on this 15th day of October, 2002.

Kevin L. Peterson